



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/745,230	12/21/2000	Walter V. Tserkovnyuk	VRex-0006USAAAON00 7518		
7590 07/27/2004			EXAMI	EXAMINER	
Gerow D. Brill, Esq.			DIEP, NHON THANH		
Reveo,Inc. 85 Executive Boulevard Elmsford, NY 10523			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2613		
			DATE MAILED: 07/27/2004	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/745,230	TSERKOVNYUK ET AL				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Nhon T Diep	2613				
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH e, cause the application to become ABAN	y be timely filed  30) days will be considered timely.  S from the mailing date of this communication.  IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 h	<u>1ay 2004</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ This	☐ This action is FINAL. 2b)☐ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13 and 14</u> is/are pending in the application	☑ Claim(s) <u>13 and 14</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>14</u> is/are allowed.	Claim(s) <u>14</u> is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13</u> is/are rejected.	Claim(s) <u>13</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document		19(a)-(d) or (f).				
<ul><li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li><li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li></ul>						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Burea		oorvoo iii ano raalonal olago				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	,					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sum					
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> </ul>		fail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 09/745,230

Art Unit: 2613

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 5/11/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

With regard to the applicant's argument that "there is no teaching or suggestion in Katayama to rotate one imaging system along a vertical axis with respect to the fixed vertical axis of the other imaging system" (page 8, lines 14-16). The examiner respectfully disagrees and as is admitted by the applicant, Katayama teaches focusing on an arbitrary point by a vertical deviation INDEPENDENTLY for each of the imaging systems (page 8, lines 11-13). Since Katayama focuses on an arbitrary point by a vertical deviation (rotating vertically) INDEPENDENTLY for each of the imaging system, therefore, at at least one occasion, Katayama only rotates vertically one imaging system or rotates independently from the other system and therefore, Katayama meets the limitation as amended and claimed.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Katayama as set forth in the previous office action (paper #3).

#### Allowable Subject Matter

Application/Control Number: 09/745,230

Art Unit: 2613

4. Claim 14 is allowed.

### **Conclusion**

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nhon T Diep whose telephone number is 703-305-4648. The examiner can normally be reached on m-f.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chris S Kelley can be reached on 703 305-4856. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2613

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ND

26 July 2004

NHON DIEP PRIMARY EXAMINER

DMMhm

Page 4